Dear Miss S. Dorophy

I totally support this planning application. 2 Bembridge Drive already has 95% of the plans approved and the other 5% is an extended balcony to match the newly approved planning at number 4 Bembridge Drive. Not sure how people can object when number 4 have already had approval with little objections. The case officer and senior planning officer are happy to approve planning for number 2. I personally think it's been a complete waste of everyone's time and rate payers' money objecting about a balcony that they at number 4 can have but nobody else, I personally think whoever Is responsible for writing to councillor Turner should be liable for cost for the coach that attended 2 and 4 Bembridge Drive.

I hope this beautiful design gets the approval it deserves.

With today's problems and little money about for gas, electric, food etc how can councillor Turner / HBC justify the cost of this appeal. If they had to pay for this out of their own pocket would this have happened.

H.B.C. planning for the balcony of No 4,

7.16 "It is not considered that this small section would create an overlooking concern so great as to warrant a refusal of the application".

From: Turner, Leah & Leah.Turner@havant.gov.uk

Sent: 09 October 2023 12:50

To: Donophy, Selina & Selina.Donophy@Havant.gov.uk

Subject: Re: APP/23/00518 2 Bembridge Drive

I have contacted the neighbour at no 4 who first approached me and they confirm they would still like this to go to the planning committee it you are intending to allow this.

I understand that some obscure glazing would be conditioned, but my resident has said this is insufficient to prevent loss of privacy

(the above stats that no.4 wanted to take it to planning committee not Leah Turner, since when does the public have the right to take this to the committee, if no.4 would have said no they didn't want a committee meeting then this wouldn't have happened. No.4 have no right to say the obscured glazing is insufficient to prevent loss of privacy when no.4 has the same 1.7m obscured glazing and it's been granted planning permission, I just don't understand why people are so

negative and hurtful. Maybe it's all about mines better than yours.

From: Donophy, Selina Selina.Donophy@Havant.gov.uk

Sent: Monday, October 9, 2023 1:49 pm

To: Turner, Leah Leah.Turner@havant.gov.uk

Subject: RE: APP/23/00518 2 Bembridge Drive

Dear Councillor Turner,

Thanks very much for coming back to me – so just to confirm you would still like for this to go to planning committee ?

(Selina Donophy not sure who wants to take it to committee because Leah Turner was asking on behalf of no.4)

From: Turner, Leah <Leah.Turner@havant.gov.uk

Sent: 09 October 2023 13:56

To: Donophy, Selina & Selina.Donophy@Havant.gov.uk

Subject: Re: APP/23/00518 2 Bembridge Drive

Hello Selina

Yes it is overlooking with policy CS16. As the main reason. I would like this to go to the planning committee.

(Leah Turner makes no reference to CS16 in any emails until Selina Donophy mentions it. Also Selina Donophy has already told Leah Turner "From what you said it sounds like overlooking with policy CS16? With the screening – this would be 1.7 metre in height and obscured to the side so this would mean no one could stand and look down from the side as it would be above average eye line." So the CS16 overlooking as the main reason for committee meeting, Selina Donophy has already confirmed to Leah Turner that there is no overlooking 1.7 metre in height and obscured. That's the same as no.4 a 1.7 metre in height balcony and that has been granted and currently being built, how can no.4 have the cheek to complain and object to planning similar to what they wanted for there property, its good for them but no one else.)

No.4 has had planning approved from a bungalow to a mega 3 storey house with the roof as hight as the 4 storey flat roof flats opposite, looking at the drawings no.4 has extended north about 10

metres and an extra 2.5m at the south, please note that no.6 is a single storey property and no concerns from anybody overlooking.

Thanks

Kiah Henderson